PUBLIC MATTER

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ALAN B. GORDON, No. 125642 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL WILLIAM TODD, No. 259194 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1491				
8					
9	STATE BAR COURT				
10	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES				
12					
13	In the Matter of: Case No. 13-O-11428				
14	JOHN MARTIN SINASOHN,) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES No. 82861,				
15	A Manulan a Cala Casta Da				
16	A Member of the State Bar. NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!				
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 24 25 26	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL: (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND; (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.				
27	kwiktag* 152 149 226				

152 149 226

-1-

28

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. John Martin Sinasohn ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on November 29, 1978, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 13-O-11428
Business and Professions Code section 6068(k)

Business and Professions Code section 6068(k) [Failure to Comply With Conditions of Probation]

- 2. Respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6068(k) by failing to comply with all conditions attached to any disciplinary probation, as follows:
- 3. On or about May 27, 2011, the State Bar and Respondent entered into a Stipulation as to facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition in case no. 09-O-15973, et al.
- 4. On or about September 14, 2011, the California Supreme Court issued Order No. S194617 ("Disciplinary Order) which ordered that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that Respondent be placed on probation for two years subject to certain conditions including 60 days' actual suspension.
- 5. On or about September 14, 2011, the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of California properly served a copy of the Disciplinary Order by mail on Respondent. Respondent received the Disciplinary Order.
 - 6. On or about October 14, 2011, the Disciplinary Order became effective.
- 7. On or about November 9, 2011, a probation deputy of the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Probation") mailed a letter to Respondent at his member records address reminding Respondent of the conditions of his probation. Respondent received the letter from the probation deputy.
- 8. A condition of Respondent's probation required that Respondent contact Probation within 30 days of the October 14, 2011 effective date of the Order and arrange a meeting with the assigned probation deputy.

- 9. Respondent failed to contact Probation to schedule a meeting prior to the November 13, 2011 deadline.
- 10. A condition of Respondent's probation required that Respondent submit written quarterly reports to Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation, stating under penalty of perjury his compliance with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter.
- 11. Respondent did not submit to Probation the quarterly reports due on July 10, 2012, October 10, 2012 and January 10, 2013.
- 12. A condition of Respondent's probation required that Respondent provide to Probation proof of attendance at a session of Ethics School within one (1) year of the effective date of the Disciplinary Order, a date which fell on October 14, 2012.
- 13. To date, Respondent has not provided proof of Ethics School attendance to the Office of Probation.
- 10. At no time did Respondent file a motion in the State Bar Court requesting to modify any of the terms of probation.
- 14. By the foregoing conduct, Respondent willfully failed to comply with the conditions attached to his disciplinary probation.

NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

Deputy Trial Counsel

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: April 29, 2013

By: William Todd

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

 $\label{eq:by} \textbf{U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL}/\,\textbf{U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL}/\,\textbf{OVERNIGHT DELIVERY}/\,\textbf{FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION}$

CASE NUMBER(s): 13 O 11428

	ne age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the with Angeles, California 90015, declare that:	in action, whose business address and p	place of employment is the State Bar of	
- on the date shown below,	I caused to be served a true copy of the within documer	nt described as follows:		
	NOTICE OF DISCIPLE	NARY CHARGES		
By U.S. First-Class Mail: - in accordance with the proof Los Angeles.	(CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) actice of the State Bar of California for collection and pro	By U.S. Certified Mail: occessing of mail, I deposited or placed for	(CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) or collection and mailing in the City and County	
By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) - I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS').				
Based on agreement of the r	CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the d. The original record of the fax transmission is retained	documents to the persons at the fax nuld on file and available upon request.	mbers listed herein below. No error was	
By Electronic Service: Based on a court order or an addresses listed herein below. I did no	(CCP § 1010.6) to: agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic treceive, within a reasonable time after the transmission	c transmission, I caused the documents on, any electronic message or other indic	to be sent to the person(s_ at the electronic ation that the transmission was unsuccessful.	
(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below) (for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 7196 9008 9111 0442 9751 at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)				
	gether with a copy of this declaration, in an envelopment		· ·	
Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:	
	Law Office of John Sinasohn 5924 Van Nuys Blvd Van Nuys, CA 91401			
John Martin Sinasohn		Electronic Address		
via inter-office mail regularly pr	ocessed and maintained by the State Bar of Calif	ornia addressed to:		
overnight delivery by the United Parce	State Bar of California's practice for collection and proced Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar United States Postal Service that same day, and for ov	ar of California's practice, correspondence	e collected and processed by the State Bar of	
I am aware that on motion of after date of deposit for mailing contain	the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal c	ancellation date or postage meter date o	in the envelope or package is more than one day	
•	perjury, under the laws of the State of California,	that the foregoing is true and correct	ct. Executed at Los Angeles,	
DATED: April 29, 2013			Je ·	
		Asa Botosaru Nercessia Declarant	în	

State Bar of California DECLARATION OF SERVICE